UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,074	11/20/2003	John Kamieniecki	D03127 8649	
43471 Motorola, Inc.	7590 02/04/200	9	EXAM	INER
Law Departmen		LIN, JASON K		
1303 East Algonquin Road 3rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Schaumburg, II	L 60196		2425	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.US@motorola.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,074	KAMIENIECKI, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JASON K. LIN	2425				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 No</u>	ovember 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15 and 17-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15 and 17-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	_					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
1	,					

Art Unit: 2425

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to application No. 10/718,074 filed on 11/06/2008.

Claims 2 and 16 are cancelled, and Claims 1, 3-15, and 17-30 are pending and have been examined.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-30** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1, 3, 7-10, 12-14, and 17-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caporizzo (US 5,874,992) in view of Marcus (US 2002/0092019).

Consider **claim 1**, Caporizzo teaches a method of monitoring signal quality in a cable network comprising a headend connected by a cable network to a plurality of set-top boxes (STBs) (Fig.1; Col 1: lines 34-44, Col 1: line 64 – Col 2: line 3), the method comprising:

at selected ones of the STBs, receiving a first signal on a first channel and a second signal on a second different channel (Col 4: lines 15-20; Col 3: lines 51-57, 60-64); and

monitoring and collecting information, simultaneously, about the first signal and the second signals received by the STB (Col 3: lines 14-17, Col 4: line 45 – Col 5: line 3, Col 5: lines 4-38 teaches the STB receiving downstream transmissions from the headend 15 and accumulating data to check for errors and calculating an error rate, and forwarding the information to the server. *The stream is monitored and at the same time information is collected at the receiver, therefore, monitoring and collection of information are done simultaneously.* Col 3: lines 51-57 teaches a plurality of channels containing first and second signals that are transmitted to the subscriber. Col 5: lines 54-63 teaches that all channels may be monitored and have data collected for them, which would include both first and second signals), wherein the information comprises at least one of channel absence/presence, error count, and signal level estimates (Col 5: lines 4-38).

Capporizzo does not explicitly teach receiving simultaneously a first signal and a second signal.

In an analogous art Marcus teaches, receiving simultaneously a first signal and a second signal (Paragraph 0278, 0280 teaches receiving more than one channel simultaneously and monitoring program content and/or data).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Caporizzo's system to include receiving simultaneously a first signal and a second signal, as taught by Marcus, for the advantage of increasing the functionality of the receiver system, allowing reception of multiple signals so no

Art Unit: 2425

important information will be missed, and allowing for more efficient usage of channel bandwidth.

Page 4

Consider **claim 7**, Caporizzo teaches a method of monitoring statistics about cable transmissions on a cable network comprising a headend and a plurality of set-top boxes (STBs) (Fig.1; Col 1: lines 34-44, Col 1: line 64 – Col 2: line 3), the method comprising:

using at least one of the plurality of STBs, collecting statistics on plant health by monitoring a first signal and a second signal received on down stream path and a signal on upstream path, and reporting information related to the quality of these signals from the STB back to the headend (Col 3: lines 14-17, Col 4: line 45 – Col 5: line 3, Col 5: lines 4-38 teaches the STB receiving downstream transmissions from the headend 15 and accumulating data to check for errors and calculating an error rate, and forwarding the information to the server. Col 3: lines 51-57 teaches a plurality of channels containing first and second signals that are transmitted to the subscriber. Col 5: lines 54-63 teaches that all channels may be monitored and have data collected for them, which would include both first and second signals. Col 6: lines 44-60 teaches monitoring the error rate of the upstream path).

Capporizzo does not explicitly teach a first signal and a second signal received simultaneously.

Art Unit: 2425

In an analogous art Marcus teaches, a first signal and a second signal received simultaneously (Paragraph 0278, 0280 teaches receiving more than one channel simultaneously and monitoring program content and/or data).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Caporizzo's system to include a first signal and a second signal received simultaneously, as taught by Marcus, for the advantage of increasing the functionality of the receiver system, allowing reception of multiple signals so no important information will be missed, and allowing for more efficient usage of channel bandwidth.

Consider **claim 28**, Caporizzo teaches an apparatus for monitoring signal quality in a cable network comprising a headend connected by cable to a plurality of set-top boxes (STBs) (Fig.1; Col 1: lines 34-44, Col 1: line 64 – Col 2: line 3), wherein at least one of the STBs comprises:

in-band tuner means for receiving first signals from the cable operator (Col 4: lines 6-10, 30-45; Col 3: lines 64-67);

out-of-band tuner means for receiving second signals from the cable operator (Col 4: lines 15-20; Col 3: lines 51-57, 60-64);

monitor means for monitoring and generating information related to signal quality of the received first and the second signals (Fig.3; Col 4: line 30 – Col 5: line 43. Col 3: lines 51-57 teaches a plurality of channels containing first and second signals that are transmitted to the subscriber. Col 5: lines 54-63 teaches

Art Unit: 2425

that all channels may be monitored and have data collected for them, which would include both first and second signals), wherein the information comprises at least one of channel absence/presence, error count and signal level estimates (Col 5: lines 4-38);

Page 6

controller means for controlling the overall operation of the STB (microprocessor 138-Fig.3); and

non-volatile memory means for storing the information generated by the monitor means (memory 160-Fig.3; Col 5: lines 11-24, 36-39).

Capporizzo does not explicitly teach wherein the first and the second signals are received simultaneously.

In an analogous art Marcus teaches, wherein the first and the second signals are received simultaneously (Paragraph 0278, 0280 teaches receiving more than one channel simultaneously and monitoring program content and/or data).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Caporizzo's system to include wherein the first and the second signals are received simultaneously, as taught by Marcus, for the advantage of increasing the functionality of the receiver system, allowing reception of multiple signals so no important information will be missed, and allowing for more efficient usage of channel bandwidth.

Art Unit: 2425

Consider **claim 3**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach wherein the cable network includes a downstream path for providing services/content to the STBs (Caporizzo - Col 2: lines 34-37, Col 3: lines 4-6) and an upstream path allowing the STBs to transmit information which has been collected to the headend (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 4-38).

Consider **claim 8**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach performing the monitoring function in the background when the STB is not being used (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 54-57).

Consider **claim 9**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach storing the statistics for future collection via a two way polling mechanism (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 8-30, 36-38, 44-49; Fig.1, Col 2: lines 34-39).

Consider **claim 10**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach transmitting the statistics from the STB to the headend as they are collected (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 5-30, 36-38).

Consider **claim 12**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach monitoring errors/dropouts on the downstream path (Caporizzo - Col 3: lines 14-17, Col 4: line 45 – Col 5: line 3, Col 5: lines 4-38).

Art Unit: 2425

Consider **claim 13**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach transmitting ping messages from the STB to the headend and back to the STB (Caporizzo - Col 6: lines 44-60).

Page 8

Consider claim 14, Caporizzo and Marcus teach monitoring the downstream path, by waiting until the STB is in an off state, then tuning each channel in a channel map (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 54-57 teaches a central processor instructing the STB to determine the BER {monitoring downstream path} on selected CATV channels when the subscriber terminal is not turned ON {idle state}. In order for the CPU to instruct the STB to monitor "selected CATV channels" there must have been a channel map of some kind to see what channels are to be monitored).

Consider **claim 17**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach cycling through the channel map at some periodicity (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 52-63).

Consider **claim 18**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach monitoring the upstream path by transmitting a "ping" type signal from the STB to the headend (Caporizzo - Col 6: lines 44-60).

Art Unit: 2425

Consider **claim 19**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach at the head-end, receiving the transmitted ping signal and returning it to the STB via the downstream path (Caporizzo - Col 6: lines 44-60).

Page 9

Consider **claim 20**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach wherein: the return ping message comprises statistics about the signal that the headend received from the STB (Caporizzo - Col 6: lines 44-60).

Consider **claim 21**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach wherein: upon reception of the return ping message, the STB verifies functionality and records any appropriate signal statistics in its records (Caporizzo – Col 6: lines 44-60 teaches the STB receiving BER data back from the headend and determining if the upstream transmission is good or not. *Signal statistics must be stored* someplace on record at least, but not limited to just the time-frame that is necessary for verifying functionality after reception of the BER data)

Consider **claim 22**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach collecting information at the STB and transmitting it from the STB to the headend using a polling system (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 4-38).

Art Unit: 2425

Consider **claim 23**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach wherein: the information is transmitted to the head end on the upstream path (Caporizzo - Figs.1, 2; Col 5: lines 36-38).

Consider **claim 24**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach wherein the headend queries the STB for a report of channel health monitoring statistics (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 4-38).

Consider **claim 25**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach wherein: the cable network comprises nodes, and there is at least one monitoring-enabled STB per node (Caporizzo - Fig.1; Col 5: lines 4-38).

Consider claim 26, Caporizzo and Marcus teach wherein: when there are several monitoring-enabled STBs per node, a portion of the STBs are enabled and a remaining portion of the STBs are quiescent (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 54-63, Col 6: lines 5-19 teaches providing the central processor 71-Fig.2 located at the headend reatians the ability to instruct STB to determine the BER on select channels when the terminal is not turned on. It can do that by polling specific terminals. Therefore, if the central processor retains control over all STBs for monitoring of channel quality when the terminal is not turned ON, and can specifically control/instruct each STB terminal, it can enable some terminal to monitor for channel quality while leaving others quiescent).

Art Unit: 2425

Consider claim 27, Caporizzo and Marcus teach from the headend, turning off the monitoring function of a monitoring-enabled STB and turning on the monitoring function of a quiescent STB on the same node (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 54-63, Col 6: lines 5-19 teaches providing the central processor 71-Fig.2 located at the headend reatians the ability to instruct STB to determine the BER on select channels when the terminal is not turned on. It can do that by polling specific terminals. Therefore, if the central processor retains control over all STBs for monitoring of channel quality when the terminal is not turned ON, and can specifically control/instruct each STB terminal, it can have specific STBs stop monitoring and specify other STBs to start monitoring).

Consider **claim 29**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach means for transmitting the information from the STB to the headend as it is collected (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 5-30, 36-38).

Consider **claim 30**, Caporizzo and Marcus teach means for transmitting the information from the STB to the headend when the STB is polled by the headend (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 8-30, 36-38, 44-49; Fig.1, Col 2: lines 34-39).

Art Unit: 2425

5. **Claim 4** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caporizzo (US 5,874,992), in view of Marcus (US 2002/0092019), and further in view of Dinwiddie et al. (US 2003/0204857).

Consider **claim 4**, Caporizzo and Marcus wherein the STB comprises an in-band (IB) tuner for receiving first signals from the headend (Caporizzo - Col 4: lines 6-10, 30-45; Col 3: lines 64-67), an out of band (OOB) tuner for receiving second signals from the headend (Caporizzo - Col 4: lines 15-20; Col 3: lines 51-57, 60-64), a monitor (MON) for generating the information about at least one of the first and second signals received by the STB (Caporizzo - Fig.3; Col 4: lines 30-45), a controller (CONTROLLER) for controlling the overall operation of the STB (microprocessor 138-Fig.3), and memory for storing the information about the first and second signals received by the STB (Caporizzo - memory 160-Fig.3; Col 5: lines 11-24, 36-39), but does not explicitly teach the memory is non-volatile memory (NVM).

In an analogous art Dinwiddie teaches, memory is non-volatile memory (NVM) (NVM 34-Fig.1; Paragraph 0022).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Caporizzo and Marcus to include memory is non-volatile memory (NVM), as taught by Dinwiddie, for the advantage of having data still stored when power is no longer applied to the device, allowing for a more energy efficient design, and one less problem to worry about during power loss, allowing important data to be retained.

Art Unit: 2425

6. Claims 5, 6, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caporizzo (US 5,874,992), in view of in view of Marcus (US 2002/0092019), and further in view of Petrovic et al. (US 2004/0073916).

Consider **claim 5**, Caporizzo teaches wherein the STB maintains a channel map, further comprising: when the STB is in an idle state, at the STB, tuning through the channels in the channel map and collecting the information about the first and second signals received by the STB (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 54-57 teaches a central processor instructing the STB to determine the BER (monitoring downstream path) on selected CATV channels when the subscriber terminal is not turned ON (idle state). *In order for the CPU to instruct the STB to monitor "selected CATV channels" there must have been a channel map of some kind to see what channels are to be monitored.* Col 5: lines 20-24, 36-38 teaches the collected information are collected and stored), but does not explicitly teach including applying a time stamp to the information.

In an analogous art Petrovic teaches, applying a time stamp to information (Paragraph 0044).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Caporizzo and Marcus to include applying a time stamp to information, as taught by Petrovic, for the advantage of providing more precise control and record keeping, allowing the system to accurately retain data on when information was received.

Art Unit: 2425

Consider **claim 6**, Caporizzo, Marcus, and Petrovic teach upon reaching a last channel in the channel map, entering a sleep mode for a given period of time, at the end of which time the STB resumes monitoring signals received by the STB (Caporizzo – Col 5: lines 52-63).

Consider **claim 15**, Caporizzo and Marcus monitoring each channel's health for a period of time (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 57-63), but does not explicitly teach logging this information with a timestamp.

In an analogous art Petrovic teaches, logging information with a timestamp (Paragraph 0044).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Caporizzo and Marcus to include logging information with a timestamp, as taught by Petrovic, for the advantage of providing more precise control and record keeping, allowing the system to accurately retain data on when information was received.

7. **Claim 11** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caporizzo (US 5,874,992), in view of Marcus (US 2002/0092019), and further in view of Leary (US 6,425,133).

Art Unit: 2425

Consider **claim 11**, Caporizzo and Marcus wherein the cable network includes an out-of-band (OOB) control channel (Caporizzo - Col 4: lines 15-20; Col 3: lines 51-57, 60-64), and further comprising: at the STB, monitoring of errors/dropouts (Caporizzo - Col 5: lines 4-38), but does not explicitly teach monitoring the OOB control channel.

In an analogous art Leary teaches, monitoring the OOB control channel (Col 5: lines 18-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Caporizzo and Marcus to include monitoring the OOB control channel, as taught by Leary, for the advantage of providing overall system control over all channels utilized by the client, creating a more robust system, allowing the client to be notified of anything that happens.

Cited Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Smyth et al. discloses receiving signals from plurality of set top boxes and based on error rate and other factors determines whether any of the communication channels used are defective in (US 6,598,229).

Grau et al. discloses monitoring uplink and downlink channels to determine channel quality for each of the channels in the communication system in (US 5,862,451).

Art Unit: 2425

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON K. LIN whose telephone number is (571)270-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:00AM-6:00PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian T. Pendleton can be reached on (571)272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2425

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason Lin/

Examiner, Art Unit: 2425

/Brian T. Pendleton/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425